## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## AUG 29 2006

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant	Kimble	) Art	Unit: 2174
Serial No.: 09/775,692		) Exa	niner: Ke
Filed: February 2, 20	01	) 50N	3463.01
For: WEB BROWS	er plug-in for TV	) 750	ust 29, 2006 B STREET, Suite 3120 Diego, CA 92101

## **RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 14, 2006, the following remarks are submitted. Claims 1-22 and 24-30 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Anderson et al., USPN 6,219,042 in view of Gerba, USPN 6,445,398, relying on the picture-in-graphics (PIG) teaching of Gerba at col. 27, lines 5-20 to provide a teaching of a transparent section, admittedly missing in Anderson et al. Also, Claim 23 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. in view of Gerba and Houghton et al., USPN 6,757,707.

The rejections are respectfully traversed.

The reason that Gerba uses the relied-upon transparent portion (essentially, a transparent portion of program guide) to display video in is to allow viewing of a program at the same time the title appears on the program guide, Gerba. col. 27, lines 5-15. Anderson et al., however, is directed to viewing a TV pane in

1168-175,AMD